Reprinted from THE PHYSICAL REVIEW, Vol. 171, No. 2, 549-550, 10 July 1968 Printed in U. S. A.

Pressure and Temperature Dependence of the Dielectric **Properties of the Perovskite Barium Titanate**

A. K. Goswami

Northrop Corporate Laboratories, Hawthorne, California 90250

AND L. E. CROSS

Materials Research Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania (Received 8 February 1968)

The reduction in Curie constant of single-crystal barium titanate under hydrostatic pressure has been explained by a weak temperature dependence of the electrostrictive constant $Q_{11}+2Q_{12}$. The magnitude of the temperature coefficient of $Q_{11}+2Q_{12}$ computed from Samara's data is 1.2×10^{-3} °K⁻¹.

WEALTH of data is available in the literature on A the dependence of the dielectric properties of BaTiO₃ under hydrostatic pressure.¹⁻⁴ In all these papers there is a general agreement that the Curie point of BaTiO₃ decreases with pressure. Using a phenomenological thermodynamic approach, Goswami⁵ satisfactorily explained these data.

More recently, Samara⁶ has extended the range of dielectric measurements to significantly higher pressure. While in general agreement with the previous work, his data also show that the Curie constant, as deduced from the behavior in the paraelectric phase, decreases significantly with increasing pressure.

In the following, it is shown that this reduction in Curie constant may be explained by a weak temperature dependence of the electrostrictive constant Q_{11} + $2Q_{12}$. The magnitude of this temperature dependence is too small to be observed in the rather imprecise measurements of the Q's by direct methods, and thus Samara's data provide the first direct proof of this phenomenon.

The elastic Gibbs free energy for barium titanate may be written in the form

$$G_{1}-G_{10} = -\frac{1}{2}s_{11}(X_{x}^{2}+Y_{y}^{2}+Z_{z}^{2}) -s_{12}(X_{x}Y_{y}+Y_{y}Z_{z}+Z_{z}X_{x}) -\frac{1}{2}s_{44}(X_{y}^{2}+Y_{z}^{2}+Z_{z}^{2}) +(Q_{11}X_{x}+Q_{12}Y_{y}+Q_{12}Z_{z})P_{x}^{2} +(Q_{12}X_{x}+Q_{11}Y_{y}+Q_{12}Z_{z})P_{y}^{2} +(Q_{12}X_{x}+Q_{12}Y_{y}+Q_{11}Z_{z})P_{z}^{2} +Q_{44}(X_{y}P_{x}P_{y}+Y_{z}P_{y}P_{z}+Z_{x}P_{z}P_{x}) +A(P_{x}^{2}+P_{y}^{2}+P_{z}^{2}) +B(P_{x}^{4}+P_{y}^{4}+P_{z}^{4})+C(P_{x}^{6}+P_{y}^{6}+P_{z}^{6}) +D(P_{x}^{2}P_{y}^{2}+P_{y}^{2}P_{z}^{2}+P_{z}^{2}P_{x}^{2}) +G(P_{x}^{2}P_{y}^{4}+P_{x}^{4}P_{y}^{2}+P_{y}^{2}P_{z}^{4} +P_{y}^{4}P_{z}^{2}+P_{z}^{2}P_{x}^{4}+P_{z}^{4}P_{x}^{2}), (1)$$

¹ W. J. Merz, Phys. Rev. 77, 52 (1950).

² J. Klimowski and J. Pietrzak, Acta Phys. Polon. 19, 369 (1960).

³ J. Klimowski, Phys. Status Solidi 2, 456 (1962).
⁴ G. Shiran and A. Takeda, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 7, 1 (1952).
⁵ A. K. Goswami, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 21, 1037 (1966).
⁶ G. A. Samara, Phys. Rev. 151, 378 (1966).

where X_x , Y_y , Z_z are the normal stress components, Y_z , Z_x , X_y are the shear stress components, s_{11} , s_{12} , s_{44} are the elastic compliances, P_x , P_y , P_z are the components of polarization, and Q_{11} , Q_{12} , Q_{44} are the electrostrictive coefficients. A, B, C, D, G are the constants of the free energy function and G_{10} is the free energy of the unstressed, unpolarized crystal.

For hydrostatic stress,

$$X_{x} = Y_{y} = Z_{z} = -\sigma,$$

$$X_{y} = Y_{z} = Z_{x} = 0,$$
(2)

where σ is the stress in dyn/cm².

The isothermal dielectric inverse susceptibility (dielectric stiffness) may be deduced from Eq. (1) for any stressed or polarized state since

$$\chi_{xx} = (\delta^2 G_1 / \delta P_x^2)_T, \qquad \chi_{yy} = (\delta^2 G_1 / \delta P_y^2)_T,$$

$$\chi_{zz} = (\delta^2 G_1 / \delta P_z^2)_T, \qquad \chi_{xy} = (\delta^2 G_1 / \delta P_x \delta P_y)_T. \quad (3)$$

For the cubic paraelectric phase, under a constant hydrostatic stress, the crystal is dielectrically isotropic, and it is clear from Eqs. (1)-(3) that

$$\chi_{xx} = \chi_{yy} = \chi_{zz} = \chi = 4\pi/\epsilon = 2A + 2(Q_{11} + 2Q_{12})\sigma,$$

$$\chi_{xy} = \chi_{yz} = \chi_{zx} = 0.$$
 (4)

With zero stress, the crystal is known to follow a Curie-Weiss law in the paraelectric phase, i.e.,

 $\epsilon = C_0 / (T - T_0),$

so that

$$2A = (4\pi/C_0) (T - T_0), \qquad (5)$$

where ϵ_0 is the value of ϵ corresponding to zero stress. If the combination of electrostrictive constants Q_{11} + $2Q_{12}$ is weakly temperature-dependent, Eq. (3) may be rewritten in the form

$$\chi = 4\pi/\epsilon = (4\pi/C_0) (T - T_0) + 2\sigma (Q_{11} + 2Q_{12})_0 (1 + \alpha T),$$
(6)

171 549

or

(7)

(8)

where
$$(Q_{11}+2Q_{12})_0$$
 is the value of the striction constants
at zero temperature and α is the temperature coefficient.

Equation (6) has the form of a Curie-Weiss law, i.e.,

$$\epsilon = C/(T-T_{\theta}),$$

$$C = \frac{4\pi C_0}{4\pi + 2\sigma\alpha (Q_{11} + 2Q_{12})_0 C_0}$$

and

$$T_{\theta} = \frac{4\pi T_0 - 2\sigma (Q_{11} + 2Q_{12})_0 C_0}{4\pi + 2\sigma \alpha (Q_{11} + 2Q_{12})_0 C_0}.$$

F., \mathcal{L}_{a} X., are the significant stress components, u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{3} and u_{2} , u_{3} , u_{4} , u_{5} , u_{6} , u_{7} , u_{1} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{2} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , u_{2} , u_{1} , u_{2} , $u_{$

" For hydrostatic stress.

$$\begin{split} \chi_{g} &= Y_{g} = \mathcal{L}_{g} = -\varphi_{g} \\ \chi_{g} &= P_{g} = \hat{\mathcal{L}}_{g} = 0_{gg} \end{split} \tag{2}$$

where a is the stress in dyn 'cmf.

The bothernal diduction inverse easepatibility (didestric suffness, muy be deduced from Eq. (1) for any stressed or pularized state since-

$$\begin{split} \chi_{gi} &= \left(\delta^{i} G_{1}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-1}\right) \tau, \qquad \chi_{gi} &= \left(\delta^{i} G_{1}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-} \delta P_{1}^{-} \right) \tau, \\ \chi_{i,2} &= \left(\delta^{i} G_{1}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-} \right) \tau, \qquad \chi_{i,2} &= \left(\delta^{i} G_{2}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-} \delta P_{2}^{-} \right) \tau. \quad (3) \end{split}$$

[For the cubit paracteteric phase, under a constant bothostatic stress, the crystal is dielectrically isotropic, and it is clear from Eqs. (1)=(3) that

$$\chi_{ab} = \chi_{ab} = \chi_{ab}$$
(6)

W the zero stress the crossel is known to follow a Carie-Weiss law III the parachectric physics i.e.,

$$v = C_0 / (T \sim T_0)_{\tau}$$

11:11 03

$$IA = [(4\pi, C_0))(I^* + T_0),$$
 (5)

where ω is the value of ϵ corresponding to zero stress. If the combinition of electroprictive constants $Q_0 + Q_0 + Q_0$ is welkly temperature d pendent. Eq. (3) may be rewritten in the form

$$y = 4\pi/e^{-1}(4\pi/C_{1})(T - T_{2}) + 2e(U_{1} + 2Q_{2}) = (1 + eT_{1}),$$

$$(1/C^2) (\delta C/\delta \sigma) = -(1/4\pi) 2\alpha (Q_{11}+2Q_{12})_0$$

$$\alpha = -2\pi (Q_{11}+2Q_{12})_0^{-1} (1/C^2) \delta C/\delta \sigma.$$

From Eq. (7),

Using Samara's tabulated data for $1/C^2$ and $\delta C/\delta\sigma$ for single crystals (Table I of Ref. 6), we may deduce a value of $\alpha \simeq +1.2 \times 10^{-3} \,^{\circ} \mathrm{K}^{-1}$.

This temperature dependence is much too weak to detect from direct measurements of the striction constants, which are at best accurate to $\pm 10\%$, but provides a very simple explanation for the observed change in Curie constant with hydrostatic stress.

A the dependence of the distance properties of BaTRS, ander hydrostance pressure.¹⁴ In all there papers there is a general agreement that the Carle point of BaTRO, derivates with prossure. Using a phonomonological thermohymmic approach, Goewanic satisfacturity contained these dorie.

More receivly, Samary' has errended the range of dielectric mergenoments to similfrantly higher pressure. While in general constants with the previous work, his data elso show that the Chrie constant, as deduced from the belayion in the polyelectric phase, discreases significantly with increasing pressure

In the following, it is shown that this reduction in Casic constant may be explained for a weak temperature dependence of the electrostrictive constant $Q_1 + 2Q_2$. The magnitude of this (corporation dependence is too small to be observed in the rather improvements of the unments of the O's by direct methods, and thus Somuta's data previse the first direct front of this phenometron.

The clostic Olliks free chergy for boointh fill mate that be written in the form

$$\begin{split} & = - i n (X_{i}^{*} + T)^{i} + h 0 \\ & - n (X_{i}^{*} + T)^{i} + h 2 (X_{i}^{*} + Z_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{*} + J) \\ & - J n (X_{i}^{*} + T)^{i} + Z_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{*} + J \\ & + (Q_{0}^{*} X_{i}^{*} + Q_{0}^{*} T_{i}^{*} + Q_{i} Z_{i}^{*} + T_{i} P_{i} P_{i}^{*} + Z_{i} P_{i}^{*} + Z_{i}^{*} + P_{i}^{*} + T_{i} P_{i} P_{i}^{*} + Z_{i}^{*} + P_{i}^{*} + P_{$$

W. I. Meni, Phys. Rev. 72, 52 (1930).
 R. Robin, Polya, Neurophys. J. Phys. Robin, 19, 30 (200).

1 Eliminital, Physic Status Solids 2, 856 (1962);

G. Shinn and R. Takada, J. Phys. Sec. Japan 7, 1 (19)

Mark Lines and The second start

· G. A. Somara, Poly, Rev. 181, Spr 11906)

with